Modeling reactivity at the catalyst/water interface

C. Michel^a

^{*a*} Univ Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS Université Lyon 1, Laboratoire de Chimie UMR 5182, 46 allée d'Italie, F-69364, LYON, France carine.michel@ens-lyon.fr

To describe reactions occurring at the solid/water interface is currently one of the major challenges in modeling in Heterogeneous Catalysis, especially in the context of biomass valorization.[1] It requires a proper depiction of the water solvent together with an adequate description of the surface state. Several approaches are available nowadays in the literature, from continuum models to a full explicit description of the liquid water.[2] When H-bonding between the liquid water and the reactant or intermediate is crucial, continuum models are not sufficient and an explicit inclusion of water molecule is a necessity. As a first step, microsolvation can be an effective approach that allowed us to interpret solvent effect in the conversion of levulinic acid into γ -valerolactone.[3] Moving to a full description of reactivity the water/metal interface is still beyond a full complete DFT approach provided the minimal size of the periodic cell that is necessary and the minimal sampling required. A combined QM/MM approach could be a promising strategy,[4] but necessitates a new generation of metal/water force field.[5] Nevertheless, being less demanding, inspecting transformations occurring at oxide/water interface is now reachable, as illustrated by our recent work on the stability of γ -alumina in water. After a fine characterization of the interfacial water[6], we located the weak spot on γ -alumina by a combination of experimental reactivity of shapedcontrolled crystals and metadynamics simulations and finally provided a rational for the greatest stability in presence of C5 and C6 polyols.[7]

References

1. R. Réocreux and C. Michel, Curr. Op. Green Sus. Chem. 10 (2018), 51-59

2. M. Saleheen and A. Heyden, ACS Catal. 8 (2018), 2188-2194

3. C. Michel, J. Zaffran, A. M. Ruppert, J. Matras-Michalska, M. Jedrzejczyk, J. Grams and P. Sautet, *Chem. Comm.* **50** (2014), 12450–12453

4. S. N. Steinmann, P. Sautet, and C. Michel, *Phys Chem Chem Phys*, 18 (2016), 31850-31861

5. S.N. Steinmann, R. Ferreira De Morais, A. W. Götz, P. Fleurat-Lessard, M. Iannuzzi, P. Sautet and C. Michel, *J Comp Theo Chem*, **14** (2018), 3238-3251

6. R. Réocreux, T. Jiang, M. Iannuzzi, C. Michel and P. Sautet, ACS App. Nano Mat., 1 (2018), 191-199

7. R. Réocreux, É. Girel, P. Clabaut, A. Tuel, M. Besson, A. Chaumonnot, A. Cabiac, P. Sautet, C. Michel, *Nat. Comm.* (2019), in press.